

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3-6, 9, and 11 remain in the application and have been amended hereby. Claims 2, 7, 8, 10, 12, and 13 have been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer.

Replacement sheets for Figs. 16-18 are submitted herewith designating Figs. 16-18 as --Prior Art--, as requested in the Office Action at page 2.

Claims 2, 7, 8, 10, 12, and 13 have been canceled, thereby rendering the rejection thereof moot.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the rejection of claims 1, 3-6, 9, and 11 under 35 USC 102(e), as being anticipated by Watanble et al.


It is respectfully submitted that Watanble et al. fails to show the asynchronous transmission of a wireless packet obtained by combining a monopayload packet with a multipayload packet depending on a length of information to be transmitted. Watanble et al. is merely setting an optimal length of the packets forming a transmission frame so as to minimize the transmission power required. See claim 4 of Watanabe et al., for example.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent claims 1 and 7, and the claims depending therefrom, are not anticipated by Watanabe et al.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon has been

reviewed and is not seen to show or suggest the present invention as recited in the amended claims.

Respectfully submitted,  
COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

  
Pedro C. Fernandez

Jay H. Maioli  
Reg. No. 27, 213  
Pedro C. Fernandez  
Reg. No. 41,741

JHM/PCF:tb